Pairing-based Cryptography and Its Applications

Rong-Jaye Chen

Department of Computer Science, National Chiao Tung University, Taiwan

Outline

[1] Elliptic Curve Cryptograph (ECC) 1. Elliptic Curve 2. Elliptic Curve DLP [2] Pairing-based Cryptography (PBC) 1. Pairings 2. Cryptography from Pairings [3] Applications of PBC 1. ID-based Encryption 2. Searchable Encryption 3. Broadcast Encryption

Elliptic Curve Cryptography (ECC)

1. Elliptic Curves

Over Fields of Characteristic p>3

- Curve form E: $Y^2 = X^3 + aX + b$ where a, $b \in F_q$, $q = p^n$ $4a^3+27b^2\neq 0$
- Group operation given P₁(x₁,y₁) and P₂(x₂,y₂) compute P₃(x₃,y₃) = P₁+P₂



Example of EC over GF(p)



Example of EC over GF(p)

• Addition $(P_1 \neq P_2)$

Computational Cost I + 3 M

Doubling (P₁=P₂)

$$\lambda = \frac{y_2 - y_1}{x_2 - x_1}$$
$$x_3 = \lambda^2 - x_1 - x_2$$
$$y_3 = (x_1 - x_3)\lambda - x_3 - y_1$$

Computational Cost I + 4 M

$$\lambda = \frac{3x_1^2 + a}{2y_1}$$

$$x_3 = \lambda^2 - 2x_1$$

$$y_3 = (x_1 - x_3)\lambda - x_3 - y_1$$

Over Fields of Characteristic 2

- Curve form
 - E: $Y^2 + XY = X^3 + aX^2 + b$
 - where a, $b \in F_q$, $b \neq 0$, $q = 2^n$
- Group operation
 - given $P_1(x_1, y_1)$ and $P_2(x_2, y_2)$ compute $P_3(x_3, y_3) = P_1 + P_2$

Example of EC over GF(2^m)

 $GF(2^m) = Z_2[x] / p(x)$, $p(x) = x^4 + x + 1$



$$g^4 = (0011)$$

 $1 = g^0 = (0001)$

Example of EC over GF(2^m)

Addition $(P_1 \neq P_2)$

Computational Cost I + 2 M + S

$$\lambda = \frac{y_2 + y_1}{x_2 + x_1}$$
$$x_3 = \lambda^2 + \lambda + x_1 + x_2 + a$$
$$y_3 = (x_1 + x_3)\lambda + x_3 + y_1$$

■ Doubling (P₁=P₂)

Computational Cost I + 2 M + S

$$\lambda = \frac{y_1}{x_1} + x_1$$
$$x_3 = \lambda^2 + \lambda + a$$
$$y_3 = (x_1 + x_3)\lambda + x_3 + y_1$$

Basic computation of ECC

•
$$Q = kP = \frac{P + P + ... + P}{k \text{ times}}$$

where P is a curve point, k is an integer

- Strength of ECC
 - Given curve, the point P, and kP
 It is hard to recover k
 - Elliptic Curve Discrete Logarithm Problem (ECDLP)

Elliptic Curve Security

| Symmetric Key Size (bits) | RSA and Diffie-Hellman Key Size (bits) | Elliptic Curve Key Size (bits) | Years |
|---------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|-------|
| 80 | 1024 | 160 | ~2010 |
| 112 | 2048 | 224 | ~2030 |
| 128 | 3072 | 256 | |
| 192 | 7680 | 384 | |
| 256 | 15360 | 521 | |

NIST Recommended Key Sizes

Pairing-based Cryptography (PBC)

1. Pairings

Divisors

- Definition
- Principal Divisors

Pairings

- Tate Pairings
- Weil Pairings
- More on Pairings

Definition of Divisors

$\boxtimes E/K$, $P \in E(\overline{K})$, [P]: a formal symbol of P

(1) Definition

A divisor D on E is a finite linear combination of the formal symbols with integer coefficients:

$$D = \sum_{j} a_{j} [P_{j}], \quad a_{j} \in \mathbb{Z}$$

(2) Definition

Div(E): group of divisors in (1)

(3) Define degree and sum of a divisor

$$\deg\left(\sum_{j} a_{j}[P_{j}]\right) = \sum_{j} a_{j} \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$sum\left(\sum_{j} a_{j}[P_{j}]\right) = \sum_{j} a_{j}P_{j} \in E(\overline{K})$$

Functions on E

$$\boxtimes E/K : y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B$$

(1) Definition

A function on E is a rational function

$$f(x,\,y)\in \overline{K}(x,\,y)$$

that is defined for at least one point in $E(\overline{K})$. (e.g. rational function $1/(y^2 - x^3 - Ax - B)$ is not allowed.)

(2) Examples

 $E: y^2 = x^3 - x$ f(x, y) = x/y is defined at (0, 0) on E!

$$\frac{x}{y} = \frac{y}{x^2 - 1} = 0$$
 at (0, 0)

(3) Definition

A function *f* has a zero at *P* if f(P) = 0A function *f* has a pole at *P* if $f(P) = \infty$

Order of f at P

(1) Definition

For each *P*, \exists a function u_P (a uniformizer at *P*) with $u_P(P) = 0$ and such that every function f(x, y) can be written in

$$f = u_P^r g$$
, with $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $g(P) \neq 0$, ∞
 $r \triangleq ord_P(f)$: order of f at P

(2) Example

 $y^2 = x^3 - x, \quad u_{(0,0)}(x, y) = y$ a uniformizer at (0, 0) $ord_{(0,0)}(x) =?$ $\therefore x = y^2 \frac{1}{x^2 - 1}$ $\therefore ord_{(0,0)}(x) = 2$ and $ord_{(0,0)}(x/y) = 1$ (1) Definition

f is a function on E , $\ f \neq 0$ the divisor of f

$$div(f) \triangleq \sum_{P \in E(\overline{K})} ord_P(f)[P] \in Div(E)$$

(2) Proposition

 $f \neq 0$ is a function on E . Then

1. f has only finitely many zeros and poles

- $2. \ \deg(div(f)) = 0$
- 3. If f has no zero or pole (so div(f) = 0), then f is a constant.

(3) Definition

A divisor *D* is a principal divisor if it is the divisor of a function. i.e. D = div(f), for some *f*

Principal Divisors (2/3)

(4) Suppose P₁, P₂, P₃ are 3 points on E that lie on the line ax + by + c = 0
Then f(x, y) = ax + by + c has zeros at P₁, P₂, P₃. If b ≠ 0 then f has a triple pole at ∞.
Therefore

$$div(ax + by + c) = [P_1] + [P_2] + [P_3] - 3[\infty]$$

The line through $P_3 = (x_3, y_3)$ and $-P_3$ is $x - x_3 = 0$.

$$div(x - x_3) = [P_3] + [-P_3] - 2[\infty]$$

Principal Divisors (3/3)

(4) Therefore,

$$div\left(\frac{ax + by + c}{x - x_3}\right) = div(ax + by + c) - div(x - x_3)$$
$$= [P_1] + [P_2] - [-P_3] - [\infty]$$

Since $P_1 + P_2 = -P_3$ on E. So

$$[P_1] + [P_2] = [P_1 + P_2] + [\infty] + div \left(\frac{ax + by + c}{x - x_3}\right)$$

Theorem

D: divisor on E with deg(D) = 0

 $\rightarrow \exists f \text{ on } E \text{ with } div(f) = D \text{ if and only if } sum(D) = \infty$

Group Relation



 $\mathcal{P}(E)$: principal divisors on E

Example (1/2)

$$\boxtimes E/F_{11} : y^2 = x^3 + 4x,$$

$$D = [(0, 0)] + [(2, 4)] + [(4, 5)] + [(6, 3)] - 4[∞]$$

∴ deg(D) = 0, sum(D) = ∞
By theorem, D is a divisor of a function.
Let's find the function.

- (1) The line through (0, 0), (2, 4) is y 2x = 0.
 It is tangent to E at (2, 4), so div(y 2x) = [(0, 0)] + 2[(2, 4)] 3[∞]
- (2) The vertical line through (2, 4) is x 2 = 0, $div(x - 2) = [(2, 4)] + [(2, -4)] - 2[\infty]$

$$\therefore D = [(2, -4)] + div \left(\frac{y - 2x}{x - 2}\right) + [(4, 5)] + [(6, 3)] - 3[\infty]$$

Example (2/2)

(Continue):(3) Similarly,

$$[(4, 5)] + [(6, 3)] = [(2, 4)] + [\infty] + div\left(\frac{y + x + 2}{x - 2}\right)$$

$$\rightarrow D = [(2, -4)] + div\left(\frac{y - 2x}{x - 2}\right) + [(2, 4)] + div\left(\frac{y + x + 2}{x - 2}\right) - 2[\infty]$$

$$\rightarrow D = div(x - 2) + div\left(\frac{y - 2x}{x - 2}\right) + div\left(\frac{y + x + 2}{x - 2}\right)$$

$$= div\left(\frac{(y - 2x)(y + x + 2)}{x - 2}\right)$$

(4) $(y - 2x)(y + x + 2) = y^2 - xy - 2x^2 + 2y - 4x$

$$= x^3 - xy - 2x^2 + 2y \quad (Since y^2 = x^3 + 4x)$$

$$= (x - 2)(x^2 - y)$$

 $\therefore D = div(x^2 - y)$

p22.

Pairings

In the following slides, we use

[n]P for nP

n(P) for n[P]

(f) for div(f)

Preliminaries (1/2)

- $\boxtimes E$ is an elliptic curve defined over \mathbb{F}_q , whose characteristic is p.
- \bowtie r is a large prime which divides $\#E(\mathbb{F}_q)$, where gcd(r, p) = 1.

$$\boxtimes \ \mu_r = \{ u \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q \mid u^r = 1 \}.$$

The *embedding degree* k is the smallest positive integer such that $r \mid q^k - 1$.

 \bowtie Then, $\mathbb{F}_{q^k} = \mathbb{F}_q(\mu_r)$.

Preliminaries (2/2)

$$\boxtimes (\mathbb{F}_{q^k}^*)^r = \{u^r \mid u \in \mathbb{F}_{q^k}^*\}.$$

- $(\mathbb{F}_{q^k}^*)^r$ is a subgroup of $\mathbb{F}_{q^k}^*$.
- The group $\mathbb{F}_{q^k}^*/(\mathbb{F}_{q^k}^*)^r$ is isomorphic to μ_r .

$$\boxtimes E(\mathbb{F}_{q^k})[r] = \{P \in E(\mathbb{F}_{q^k}) \mid [r]P = \infty\}.$$

$$\boxtimes rE(\mathbb{F}_{q^k}) = \{ [r]P \mid p \in E(\mathbb{F}_{q^k}) \}.$$

• $rE(\mathbb{F}_{q^k})$ is a subgroup of $E(\mathbb{F}_{q^k})$.

•
$$|E(\mathbb{F}_{q^k})[r]| = |E(\mathbb{F}_{q^k})/rE(\mathbb{F}_{q^k})|$$

• In many cases of relevance for cryptography, one can represent $E(\mathbb{F}_{q^k})/rE(\mathbb{F}_{q^k})$ using the points of $E(\mathbb{F}_{q^k})[r]$.

Tate Pairing (1/2)

 \bowtie Let *f* be a function and *D* = Σ_{*P*}*n*_{*P*}(*P*) be a divisor, then

$$f(D) = \prod_P f(P)^{n_P}.$$

 \bowtie Let $P \in E(\mathbb{F}_{q^k})[r]$.

• Since $[r]P = \infty$, there is a function f such that $(f) = r(P) - r(\infty)$.

 \bowtie Let $Q \in E(\mathbb{F}_{q^k})/rE(\mathbb{F}_{q^k})$.

 Construct a divisor D = (Q + S) − (S) by choosing an arbitrary point S ∈ E(F_{q^k}) such that the supports of (f) and D are disjoint.

Tate Pairing (2/2)

☑ The Tate pairing

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_r : E(\mathbb{F}_{q^k})[r] \times E(\mathbb{F}_{q^k})/rE(\mathbb{F}_{q^k}) \to \mathbb{F}_{q^k}^*/(\mathbb{F}_{q^k}^*)^r$$

is defined by

$$\langle P, Q \rangle_r = f(D).$$

For practical purposes, the *reduced* Tate pairing unifies the result of the Tate pairing by

$$e(P,Q) = \langle P,Q \rangle_r^{(q^k-1)/r}$$

which maps into the group $\mu_r \subset \mathbb{F}_{q^k}^*$.

⊠ If k > 1 or $P \in rE(\mathbb{F}_q)$, then

e(P,P)=1.

Properties of Tate Pairing

Bilinearity: For all $P, P_1, P_2 \in E(\mathbb{F}_{q^k})[r]$ and $Q, Q_1, Q_2 \in E(\mathbb{F}_{q^k})/rE(\mathbb{F}_{q^k})$,

$$\langle P_1 + P_2, Q \rangle_r = \langle P_1, Q \rangle_r \langle P_2, Q \rangle_r$$

and

$$\langle P, Q_1 + Q_2 \rangle_r = \langle P, Q_1 \rangle_r \langle P, Q_2 \rangle_r.$$

▶ Non-degeneracy:

- For all $P \in E(\mathbb{F}_{q^k})[r] \setminus \{\infty\}$, there is some $Q \in E(\mathbb{F}_{q^k})/rE(\mathbb{F}_{q^k})$ such that $\langle P, Q \rangle_r \neq 1$.
- Similarly, for all Q ∈ E(F_{q^k})/rE(F_{q^k}) with Q ∉ rE(F_{q^k}), there is some P ∈ E(F_{q^k})[r] such that ⟨P, Q⟩_r ≠ 1.

The Idea of Miller's Algorithm

- ▷ To compute the Tate pairing, we need to construct a function f such that $(f) = r(P) r(\infty)$.
- \bowtie Write f_i for a function such that

$$(f_i) = i(P) - ([i]P) - (i - 1)(\infty).$$

Note that $f_1 = 1$

■ Let *l* be the straight line across [i]P and [j]P, and *v* be the verticle line across [i + j]P, then

$$(l/v) = ([i]P) + ([j]P) - ([i+j]P) - (\infty).$$

⊠ So,

$$f_{i+j} = f_i f_j \frac{l}{v}.$$

- $\boxtimes E$ is an elliptic curve defined over \mathbb{F}_q , whose characteristic is p.
- \bowtie *r* is a large prime which divides $\#E(\mathbb{F}_q)$, where gcd(r, p) = 1.
- \bowtie k' is the smallest positive integer such that $E[r] ⊂ E(\mathbb{F}_{a^{k'}})$.
- ▷ Let $P, Q \in E[r]$ and construct degree zero divisors D = (P + S) (S), D' = (Q + T) - (T) such that the supports of D and D' are disjoint.
- \bowtie Let (f) = rD, and (g) = rD'.
- ☑ The Weil pairing is a map

$$e_r: E[r] \times E[r] \to \mu_r \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^{k'}}$$

defined by

$$e_r(P,Q) = f(D')/g(D)$$

Properties of Weil Pairing

Bilinearity: For all $P, P', Q, Q' \in E[r]$,

$$e_r(P+P',Q) = e_r(P,Q)e_r(P',Q)$$

and

$$e_r(P, Q + Q') = e_r(P, Q)e_r(P, Q').$$

▷ Non-degeneracy: If $e_r(P, Q) = 1$ for all $Q \in E[r]$, then $P = \infty$.

Alternating: $e_r(P, P) = 1$ and so $e_r(P, Q) = e_r(Q, P)^{-1}$.

Tate Pairing vs. Weil Pairing

■ If $\mu_r \not\subseteq (\mathbb{F}_{q^{k'}}^*)^r$, then

$$e_r(P,Q) = \frac{e(P,Q)}{e(Q,P)}.$$

The Tate pairing requires working over \mathbb{F}_{q^k} while the Weil pairing requires the potentially much larger field $\mathbb{F}_{q^{k'}}$.

• If
$$r \nmid (q-1)$$
 and $gcd(r,q) = 1$, then $k = k'$.

More on Pairings

Distortion Maps:

- Let $P \in E(\mathbb{F}_q)$ have prime order r, and suppose k > 1.
- Suppose $E(\mathbb{F}_{q^k})$ has no points of order r^2 .
- Let ϕ be an endomorphism of E such that $\phi(P) \notin E(\mathbb{F}_q)$.
- $\bigcirc e(P,\phi(P)) \neq 1.$
 - Description The endomorphism ϕ is called a distortion map.
- If an elliptic curve E has a distortion map, then E is supersingular.
- Use distortion maps, and restrict the pairing to a single cyclic subgroup.
 - In this case, Q = [m]P.



 $e(Q,\phi(P))=e([m]P,\phi(P))=e(P,[m]\phi(P))=e(P,\phi(Q)).$

Distortion Maps

| k | Elliptic curve data |
|---|--|
| 2 | E : $y^2 = x^3 + a$ over \mathbb{F}_p , where $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ #E(\mathbb{F}_p) = p + 1 Distortion map (x, y) $\mapsto (\zeta_3 x, y)$, where $\zeta_3^3 = 1$. |
| 2 | E : $y^2 = x^3 + x$ over \mathbb{F}_p , where p ≡ 3 (mod 4) #E(\mathbb{F}_p) = p + 1 Distortion map (x, y) \mapsto (-x, iy), where $i^2 = -1$. |
| 3 | $\begin{split} & E: \ y^2 = x^3 + a \ over \ \mathbb{F}_{p^2}, where \ p \equiv 5 \ (mod \ 6) \\ & \text{ and } a \in \mathbb{F}_{p^2}, a \notin \mathbb{F}_p \ \text{is a square which is not a cube.} \\ & \#E(\mathbb{F}_{p^2}) = p^2 - p + 1 \\ & \text{ Distortion map } (x, y) \ \mapsto \left(x^p / \left(\gamma a^{(p-2)/3} \right), y^p / a^{(p-1)/2} \right), \\ & \text{ where } \gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{p^6} \ \text{satisfies } \gamma^3 = a. \end{split}$ |
| 4 | $\begin{array}{l} E_{i}: \ y^{2}+y=x^{3}+x+a_{i} \ \text{over} \ \mathbb{F}_{2}, \text{where} \ a_{1}=0 \ \text{and} \ a_{2}=1 \\ \#E_{i}(\mathbb{F}_{2^{l}})=2^{l}\pm2^{(l+1)/2}+1 \ (l \ \text{odd}) \\ \text{Distortion} \ \text{map} \ (x,y) \ \mapsto (u^{2}x+s^{2},y+u^{2}sx+s), \text{where} \ u\in\mathbb{F}_{2^{2}} \\ \text{and} \ s\in\mathbb{F}_{2^{4}} \ \text{satisfy} \ u^{2}+u+1=0 \ \text{and} \ s^{2}+(u+1)s+1=0. \end{array}$ |
| 6 | $\begin{split} & E_i: y^2 = x^3 - x + a_i \text{ over } \mathbb{F}_3 \text{, where } a_1 = 1 \text{ and } a_2 = -1 \\ & \#E_i(\mathbb{F}_{3^l}) = 3^l \pm 3^{(l+1)/2} + 1 \text{ (l odd)} \\ & \text{Distortion map } (x, y) \mapsto (\alpha - x, iy) \text{, where } i \in \mathbb{F}_{3^2} \text{ and } \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{3^3} \\ & \text{satisfy } i^2 = -1 \text{ and } \alpha^3 - \alpha - a_i = 0. \end{split}$ |

Modified Pairings

- $\boxtimes E(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is supersingular with $r \mid \#E(\mathbb{F}_q)$ for some prime r.
- $\bowtie \phi$ is the distortion map of *E*.
- The embedding degree k > 1 and assume $E(\mathbb{F}_{q^k})$ has no points of order r^2 .
- ▶ Put $G_1 = \langle P \rangle$, where $P \in E(\mathbb{F}_q) \setminus \{\infty\}$, and $G_3 = \mu_r$.

Modified Pairings:

- $\bigcirc Q, R \in G_1.$
- The modified pairing

 $\hat{e}: G_1 \times G_1 \to G_3$

is defined by

$$\hat{e}(Q,R)=e(Q,\phi(R))$$

It has bilinearity, symmetry, and non-degeneracy.

2. Cryptography from Pairings

Key Distribution Schemes

- Identity-based Non-interactive Key Distribution
- Three-party Key Distribution

Signature Schemes

- Identity-based Signature
- Short Signature

- Sakai, Ohgishi, and Kasahara (SCIS 2000)
- Setup & Extract: The same as Identity-Based Encryption
 - The system parameters: $\langle G_1, G_3, \hat{e}, H, \rangle$.
 - User A: public key $Q_A = H(ID_A)$, and private key $S_A = [s]Q_A$.
 - User B: public key $Q_B = H(ID_B)$, and private key $S_B = [s]Q_B$.

Key Agreement:

- User A computes $\hat{e}(S_A, Q_B) = \hat{e}(Q_A, Q_B)^s$.
- User B computes $\hat{e}(Q_A, S_B) = \hat{e}(Q_A, Q_B)^s$.

Three-party Key Distribution

- Joux (ANTS 2000)
- ⊠ Setup:
 - The system parameters: $\langle G_1, G_3, \hat{e}, P \rangle$.
- Key Agreement:
 - User A select a number a, and broadcast [a]P.
 - User B select a number b, and broadcast [b]P.
 - User C select a number c, and broadcast [c]P.
 - User A computes $\hat{e}([b]P, [c]P)^a = \hat{e}(P, P)^{abc}$.
 - User B computes $\hat{e}([a]P, [c]P)^a = \hat{e}(P, P)^{abc}$.
 - User C computes $\hat{e}([a]P, [b]P)^a = \hat{e}(P, P)^{abc}$.

ID-based Signature

Cha and Cheon (PKC 2003)

Setup & Extract: The same as Identity-Based Encryption

- The system parameters: ⟨G₁, G₃, ê, P, Q₀, H, H₂⟩.
 where H₂ : {0, 1}* × G₁ → Z_r.
- \boxtimes Sign: A want to sign the message M
 - D Choose a random $t \in \mathbb{Z}_r^*$.
 - ② Compute $U = [t]Q_A$, $h = H_2(M, U)$, and $V = [t + h]S_A$.
 - Solution The signature $\sigma = \langle U, V \rangle$
- ▷ Verify: Someone verify the signed message $(M, \langle U, V \rangle)$.
 - 1
- Compute $h = H_2(M, U)$, and $Q_A = H(ID_A)$.
- Check if $\hat{e}(Q_0, U + [h]Q_A) = \hat{e}(P, V)$.

Short Signature

Boneh, Lynn, and Shacham (ASIACRYPT 2001)Setup:

- The system parameters: $\langle G_1, G_3, \hat{e}, P \rangle$.
- Extract: The user A chooses his own private key.
 - Choose *x* ∈ Z^{*}_r as the private key, and compute the public key *Q_A* = [*x*]*P*
- Sign: A want to sign the message M
 - The signature $\sigma = [x]H(M)$.

☑ Verify:

- 2
 - Check if $\hat{e}(\sigma, P) = \hat{e}(H(M), Q_A)$.

Applications of PBC

1. ID-based Encryption

History

- Certificate-based Cryptography
- Identity-based Cryptography

History

Shamir (CRYPTO 1984) raised the open problem.

Two solutions:

- Pairing-based approach: Boneh and Franklin (CRYPTO 2001)
- Based on the Quadratic Residuosity problem: Cocks (Crypto and Coding 2001)



Identity-based Cryptography



Protocol (1/2)

Setup:

- Common parameters: G_1 , G_3 , \hat{e} , and P.
- PKG select a master key s, and keep in secret. The public parameter
 Q₀ = P_{pub} = [s]P.
- Two hash functions:

 $H: \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow G_1$ (Map to Point), and

 $H_1: G_3 \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^n$ for some chosen *n*.

• The system parameters: $\langle G_1, G_3, \hat{e}, P, Q_0, n, H, H_1 \rangle$.

Extract:

- Given the ID of A $ID_A \in \{0, 1\}^*$, the public key of A is $Q_A = H(ID_A)$.
- The private key of A is $S_A = [s]Q_A$.

Protocol (2/2)

 \bowtie Encrypt: Someone would like to encrypt message *M* for A.

- Get the public key of A by $Q_A = H(ID_A)$.
- 2 Choose a random $t \in \mathbb{Z}_r^*$.
- Solution The cipher $C = \langle tP, M \oplus H_1(\hat{e}(Q_A, Q_0)^t) \rangle$.
- **Decrypt:** A receives the encrypted message $C = \langle U, V \rangle$

Denote the condition of
$$rU = \infty$$
.

2 The message $M = V \oplus H_1(\hat{e}(S_A, U)).$

2. Searchable Encryption [BCOP 2003]





- ☑ Goal: searching on encrypted data.
- Example:
 - Bob sends email to Alice encrypted under Alice's public key.
 - Both contents and keywords are encrypted.
 - The email is stored on a mail server.
 - Alice want to specify a few keywords to read email.
 - The mail server should be able to search, but learn nothing else about the email.

- Bob encrypts his email using a standard public key system.
- He appends to the resulting ciphertext a Public-key Encryption with Keyowrd Search (PEKS) of each keyword.
- ▷ To send a message M with keywords $W_1, ..., W_m$, Bob sends

$$E_{A_{pub}}(M) \parallel \mathsf{PEKS}(A_{pub}, W_1) \parallel \dots \parallel \mathsf{PEKS}(A_{pub}, W_m)$$

- \boxtimes There is a certain trapdoor T_W for a specific keyword W.
- The mail server can test whether W = W' by use of $PEKS(A_{pub}, W')$ and T_W .
- □ If $W \neq W'$, the mail server learns nothing more about W'.

PEKS

- A public key encryption with keyword search scheme consists the following polynomial time randomized algorithms:
 - KeyGen(s): takes a security parameter, s, and generates a public/private key pair A_{pub}, A_{priv}.
 - PEKS(A_{pub}, W): for a public key A_{pub} and a work W, produces a searchable encryption of W.
 - Trapdoor(A_{priv}, W): given Alice's private key A_{priv} and a word W, produces a trapdoor T_W.
 - Test(A_{pub}, S, T_W): given Alice's public key A_{pub}, a searchable encryption S = PEKS(A_{pub}, W'), and a trapdoor T_W = Trapdoor(A_{priv}, W), outputs 'yes' if W = W' and 'no' otherwise.

Construction of PEKS

- □ Using the Weil pairing $e: G_1 \times G_1 \rightarrow G_3$, where $|G_1| = |G_3| = p$.
- ✓ The hash functions: $H_1 : \{0, 1\}^* \to G_1$ and $H_2 : G_3 \to \{0, 1\}^{\log p}$.
- The PEKS works as follows:
 - KeyGen(s): The input security parameter determines the size, p, of the groups G₁ and G₃. The algorithm picks a random α ∈ Z^{*}_p and a generator P of G₁. It outputs A_{pub} = {P, Q = [α]P} and A_{priv} = α.
 - PEKS(*A_{pub}*, *W*): First compute *t* = *e*(*H*₁(*W*), [*r*]*Q*) ∈ *G*₃ for a random *r* ∈ Z^{*}_p. Output PEKS(*A_{pub}*, *W*) = {[*r*]*P*, *H*₂(*t*)}.
 - Trapdoor(A_{priv}, W): output $T_W = [\alpha]H_1(W) \in G_1$.
 - Test(A_{pub}, S, T_W): let $S = \{A, B\}$. Test if $H_2(e(T_W, A)) = B$.
- $\boxtimes e(T_W, A) = e([\alpha]H_1(W), [r]P) = e(H_1(W), P)^{r\alpha} = e(H_1(W), [r]([\alpha]P)) = e(H_1(W), [r]Q)$





BGW Scheme - Setup

Setup(n)

- in: # of intended users
- out: *n private keys (d₁, ... d_n), one public key PK*
- Public Key: $PK = (P, P_1, ..., P_n, P_{n+2}, ..., P_{2n}, v)$ Private Key: $d_i = \alpha^i v = \alpha^i \gamma P = \gamma P_i, i = i..., n$

Where
$$P_i = \alpha^i P, \nu = \gamma P$$

BGW Scheme - Encrypt

Encrypt(S, PK)

- in: $S \subseteq \{1, ..., n\}$, public key PK
- out: a pair (Hdr, K)
 - Hdr is called the header. (aka broadcast ciphertext)
 - K ∈ K is a message encryption key chosen from a finite key set K.

$$Hdr = (tP, t(\nu + \sum_{j \in S} P_{n+1-j}))$$
$$K = e(P_{n+1}, P)^{t}$$

56 p56.

BGW Scheme - Decrypt

Decrypt(S, i, di, Hdr, PK)

 If i ∈ S, then the algorithm outputs a message encryption key K ∈ K.

$$\begin{aligned} Hdr &= (tP, t(v + \sum_{j \in S} P_{n+1-j})) = (C_0, C_1) \\ K &= \frac{e(P_i, C_1)}{e(d_i + \sum_{j \in S, j \neq i} P_{n+1-j+i}, C_0)} & \text{Note:} d_i = \alpha^i v = \alpha^i \gamma P = \gamma P_i, i = i \dots n \\ P_i &= \alpha^i P, v = \gamma P \end{aligned}$$
$$= e(P, P) \overset{t(\gamma \alpha^i + \sum_{j \in S} \alpha^{n+1-j+i}) - t(\gamma \alpha^i) + \sum_{j \in S, j \neq i} \alpha^{n+1-j+i})}{\text{If you don't have } d_i, \text{ you cannot cross out this term to gain K}} = e(P_{n+1}, P)^t \text{ Session Key} \end{aligned}$$

BGW Scheme – Setup (Generalized)

- IDEA: run A parallel instances of special case where each instance can broadcast to at most B<n users
- Setup_B(n): $n = AB, A = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ B \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_1 & I_2 & I_3 & \dots & I_{A-1} & I_A \\ 1 \dots B & B+1 \dots 2B & 2B+1 \dots 3B & (A-2)B+1 \dots (A-1)B & (A-1)B+1 \dots AB \\ in: # of intended users$
 - out: *n private keys* $(d_{1}, ..., d_{n})$, one public key PK Public Key: $PK = (P, P_{1}, ..., P_{B}, P_{B+2}, ..., P_{2B}, v_{1}, ..., v_{A})$
 - Private Key: $d_i = \alpha^b v_a = \alpha^b \gamma_a P = \gamma_a P_b, i = i...n$

Write
$$i$$
 as $i = (a-1)B + b$
 $i.e. \ a = \left\lceil \frac{i}{B} \right\rceil, b = i \mod B$